



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/505,318	02/16/2000	Darryl Hymel	99EC015/75339	9021

7590 01/03/2003

Jon P Christensen Esq
Welsh & Katz Ltd
120 South Riverside Plaza 22nd Floor
Chicago, IL 60606

EXAMINER

VU, THONG H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2142

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/505,318

Applicant(s)

HYMEL, DARRYL

Examiner

Thong H Vu

Art Unit

2142

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 February 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4,5.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2142

1. This office action is in response to Application filed 2/16/00. Claims 1-41 are pending. The rejection is cited as stated below.
2. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Miloslavsky et al [Miloslavsky 6,021,428] in view of Cave [6,175,562 B1].
3. As per claims 1,14,27,35,41 and 42 Miloslavsky discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including a method of routing multimedia calls within an automatic call distributor system having a automatic call distributor coupled to the public switched telephone network and a host coupled to the Internet which is equivalent to an network including Internet, PSTN, ACD and multimedia data [Fig 1, Fig 5, col 1 lines 15-20, col 2 lines 23-65]

However Miloslavsky does not detail receiving an Internet call from an Internet caller by the host through the Internet; requesting an agent assignment for handling the to Internet call from the automatic call distributor coupled to the public switched telephone network; and transferring the Internet call to a terminal of the agent assigned by the automatic call distributor.

A skilled artisan would have motivation to improve the Miloslavsky's method and looked to the prior art, which leads to the Cave's teaching. Cave taught a switching call processing wherein a the network between a plurality of remote callers connected in part over the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) and the agent computers of selected agents assigned to said callers, said assignment enabled by the SCD according to a predetermined ACD algorithm [Cave col 9 lines 50-57]. It is clearly the calls have been transferred and received via PSTN and ACD to the assigned agent.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the technique of assigned agent for handling the Internet call from PSTN to ACD as taught by Cave into Miloslavsky's system in order to utilize the communication of multimedia data via Internet. Doing so would provide a simplified deployment and cost effective process to handle the communication over network.

4. As per claims 2,15,28 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose queuing the request for an agent assignment [Cave col 9 lines 50-57].

5. As per claims 3,16, Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of queuing the request for an agent assignment further comprises estimating a time length of the queue [col 16 lines 1-8]. Examiner takes an Official Notice that the technique of estimate a time length of the queue is a well-known art [see Dezonno, Nabkel references]

6. As per claims 4,17,38,39 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of estimating a time length of the queue further comprises transferring the estimated time to the Internet caller [Miloslavsky col 16 lines 1-9, col 26 lines 25-40,51-67].

7. As per claims 5,18,29 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of transferring the Internet call to the agent assigned by the automatic call distributor further comprises

Art Unit: 2142

setting up a real-time Internet conference with the caller [Miloslavsky col 4 lines 4-10, 29-34, col 6 lines 42-52].

8. As per claims 6,19,30 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of setting up the real-time Internet conference with the caller further comprises exchanging audio and text between the assigned agent and Internet caller through an interactive window [Miloslavsky col 4 lines 4-10, 29-34, col 6 lines 42-52].

9. As per claims 7,20,31 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of setting up a real-time conference with the caller further comprises presenting an information screen to the caller about the real-time call [Miloslavsky col 10 lines 32-45]

10. As per claims 8,21,32 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of presenting the information screen to the caller further comprises presenting an estimated time until an assigned agent will be available to participate in the conference [Miloslavsky col 16 lines 1-9, col 26 lines 25-40,51-67].

11. As per claims 9,22, Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of presenting the information screen to the caller about the real-time call further comprises presenting an information request screen to the Internet caller [Miloslavsky col 23 lines 36-47].

12. As per claims 10,23,34 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of presenting the information screen to the caller further comprises presenting an animated cartoon figure for entertaining the Internet caller while the caller waits for completion of setup of the real-time conference as inherent feature of screen-saver which is well-known in the art..

13. As per claims 11,24 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of requesting an agent assignment for handling the Internet call from the automatic call distributor further comprises seizing a connection on an incoming trunk to the automatic call distributor and sending simulated call associated information to the automatic call distributor [Miloslavsky col 39 lines 5-22].

14. As per claims 12,25 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of requesting an agent assignment for handling the Internet call from the automatic call distributor further comprises sending the request over a TCP/IP connection to a call application operating within the automatic call distributor as inherent feature of Internet.

15. As per claims 13,26 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of transferring the Internet call to the agent assigned by the automatic call distributor further comprises connecting a telephone of the assigned agent to a dummy load to avoid assigning the assigned agent to another call during the Internet call which is equivalent to a call is put on hold [Miloslavsky col 5 lines 25-40].

Art Unit: 2142

16. As per claim 33 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the information screen further comprises an information entry window adapted to request information from the Internet caller [Miloslavsky col 37 lines 8-67].

17. As per claim 36 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose routing the Internet call to an assigned agent when the queued Internet call reaches a front of the queue as inherent feature of queues and routing [Miloslavsky col 22 lines 20-27].

18. As per claims 37 Miloslavsky-Cave disclose the step of routing the Internet call to an agent when the queued Internet call reaches a front of the queue further comprises sending an Internet address of the Internet caller to the assigned agent [Miloslavsky col 15 lines 20-26].

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thong Vu, whose telephone number is (703)-305-4643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00AM- 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Powell, can be reached at (703) 305-9703.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to :

After Final (703) 746-7238

Official: (703) 746-7239

Non-Official (703) 746-7240

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11,2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thong Vu
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2142



MARK POWELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100